RECEIVED 222

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 205545

APR 2 9 1993

April 27, 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

IN REPLY REFER TO:

7330-7/170

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Mr. Joseph P. Shovlin Security Fire Department 400 Security Boulevard Security, Colorado 80911

Dear Mr. Shovlin:

This is in reply to your letter of March 10, 1993, regarding the <u>Notice of Proposed Rule Making (Notice)</u> in PR Docket No. 92-235, 57 FR 54034 (1992). This <u>Notice</u> proposes comprehensive changes to the Commission's Rules governing the private land mobile radio services operating in the frequency bands below 512 MHz.

The proposals in the <u>Notice</u> reflect to a large extent concepts and proposals submitted in the initial inquiry stages of this proceeding. None of the proposals set forth in the <u>Notice</u>, however, are engraved in stone. Indeed, the proposals represent our best judgment at this stage of the proceeding on steps that must be taken to improve the regulatory climate for users of the private land mobile radio spectrum below 512 MHz. I have enclosed for your information a copy of that part of the <u>Notice</u> that describes the numerous proposals, plus a discussion paper released March 1, 1993.

We are sensitive to the needs of users of private land mobile radio spectrum and the impact that these proposals may have on their radio systems. We will endeavour to protect all private land mobile radio systems, including those operated by public safety entities. Your letter will be included in the record of this proceeding and will be fully evaluated when we develop final rules in the proceeding.

We want to thank you for your interest in this proceeding. We expect final rules to be issued in 1994.

Sincerely,

Joseph A. Levin

Chief, Policy & Planning Branch

Private Radio Bureau

Enclosures

No. of Copies rec'd

Chairman's Correspondence Tracking System

LETTER REPORT

CONTROL NO. DATE RECEIVED DATE OF CORRESP

93-0136 03/15/93 03/10/93

3-23-93

AUTHORES LINES CONVINCENTS

doseries Signati

SUPPLE : Tepresent no Park 20 by Park 60 (bolic or Or Gille Polyate Fands Monthle or Color of the Property of Fands Monthle or Color of the Property of the Pr

SIGNATURE REF TO DATE REF DATE DUE

be prb 03/16/1993 QU/06/1993

REF TO REF TO REF TO

DATE DATE DATE

REMARKS: Mr. Fontes would like for Ralph Haller to respond

to the attached letter.

Enclosed please find a copy of a letter sent to FCC acting commissioner, James H. Quello, Chair, FCC.

This letter is in regars to Replacement of Part 90 by Part 88 to revise the Private Land Mobile Radio Services and Modify the Policies. PR DOCKET No. 92-234.

I would also like to state not all radio users were notified of/about this docket until information from APCO reached this light that the first hallet was continued.



SECURITY FIRE DEPARTMENT

400 Security Blvd. Security, Colorado 80911 (719) 392-3271 / 7121



March 10, 1993.

JAMES H. QUELLO FCC ACTING COMMISSIONER CHAIR, FCC 1919 M St. N.W. ROOM 814 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554.

Dear Mister Quello.

This letter is being written to You, regarding Part 88, to revise the policies to govern land mobile radio services.

I will not argue the point that more radio channels are needed, but I can not believe who ever wanted this change realized the cost this would/could impose on the General Public in Fire, Police and all the other Emergency Services.

The Voters of Colorado passed an amendment in November of 1992, stating that no taxes, assessments or mill levee increases could be done without a vote of the Tax Payers

This same bill only lets You raise the budget by 3.4% over the prior years budget, anything more than that would have to be voted in/on by the residents of Our Fire District.

If the intent of this new FCC rules were to put the smaller Fire Departments out of business then it will accomplish just that: I can tell You right now that this Fire District could not replace Our radios and still provide Fire Protection to the Residents.

If the 5khz band width is imposed not only would we have the replacement cost of the radios, but we would have to install towers throughout to district inorder to communicate with Our apparatus and personnel when either responding to alarms, or when training.

I believe this is an unnecessary hardship that is going to be placed on MANY to make some PEOPLE RICHER!

I will say that if the FCC or the Government is willing to pay the expense for the change over then we would gladly go along with all the new radio changes.

Respectfully Submitted for Your Consideration

øseph P. Shovlin

Chief Executive Officer

Security Fire Protection District.